

THE PALIVERSE PROJECT

The Universe of Buddha's Wisdom

The Triple Canon (Tipiṭaka)

3. The Canon of Higher Teaching (3. Abhidhamma
Piṭaka)

05. Points of Controversy (05. Kathāvatthupāḷi)

8. Eighth Chapter (8. Aṭṭhamavaggo)

[Kv 8.1-11, PTS 360-399]



PaliVerse

05. Points of Controversy

8. The Eighth Chapter

(73) 1. Treatise on the Six Destinations

503. Are there six destinations? Yes. Was it not said by the Blessed One that there are five destinations - hell, the animal realm, the sphere of ghosts, human beings, gods? Yes. If it was said by the Blessed One that there are five destinations -hell, the animal realm, the sphere of ghosts, human beings, gods; then indeed sir, it should not be said - "there are six destinations."

Are there six destinations? Yes. Is it not that the Kālakañcikā titans have the same colour as the ghosts, the same enjoyments, the same food, the same lifespan, and enter into marriage arrangements with the ghosts? Yes. If the Kālakañcikā titans have the same colour as the ghosts, the same enjoyments, the same food, the same lifespan, and enter into marriage arrangements with the ghosts, then indeed sir, it should not be said - "there are six destinations."

Are there six destinations? Yes. Is it not that the assembly of Vepacitti has the same colour as the gods, the same enjoyments, the same food, the same lifespan, and enters into marriage arrangements with the gods? Yes. If the assembly of Vepacitti has the same colour as the gods, the same enjoyments, the same food, the same lifespan, and enters into marriage arrangements with the gods, then indeed sir, it should not be said - "there are six destinations."

Are there six destinations? Yes. Is it not that the assembly of Vepacitti are the ancient gods? Yes. If the assembly of Vepacitti are the ancient gods, then indeed sir, it should not be said - "there are six destinations."

504. Should it not be said - "there are six destinations"? Yes. Is there not the class of titans? Yes. If there is the class of titans, then indeed sir, it should be said -"there are six destinations."

The discussion on the six destinations is finished.

8. The Eighth Chapter

(74) 2. Treatise on Intermediate Existence

505. Is there intermediate existence? Yes. Is it sensual existence? That should not be said. Etc. Is there intermediate existence? Yes. Is it fine-material existence? That should not be said. Etc. Is there intermediate existence? Yes. Is it immaterial existence? That should not be said. Etc. Is there intermediate existence? Yes. Is there intermediate existence in between sensual existence and fine-material existence? That should not be said. Etc. Is there intermediate existence? Yes. Is there intermediate existence in between fine-material existence and immaterial existence? That should not be said. Etc.

Is there not intermediate existence in between sensual existence and fine-material

existence? Yes. If there is not intermediate existence in between sensual existence and fine-material existence, then indeed sir, it should not be said - "There is intermediate existence." Is there not intermediate existence in between fine-material existence and immaterial existence? Yes. If there is not intermediate existence in between fine-material existence and immaterial existence, then indeed sir, it should not be said - "There is intermediate existence."

506. Is there intermediate existence? Yes. Is that the fifth mode of generation, is that the sixth destination, is that the eighth station of consciousness, is that the tenth abode of beings? That should not be said. Etc. Is there intermediate existence? Yes. Is intermediate existence existence, destination, abode of beings, wandering in the round of rebirths, mode of generation, station of consciousness, acquisition of individual existence? That should not be said. Etc. Is there action leading to intermediate existence? That should not be said. Etc. Are there beings going to intermediate existence? That should not be said. Etc. In intermediate existence do beings arise, decay, die, pass away, and are reborn? That should not be said. Etc. In intermediate existence is there materiality, feeling, perception, activities, consciousness? That should not be said. Etc. Is intermediate existence five-aggregate constituent existence? That should not be said. Etc.

507. Is there sensual existence, is sensual existence existence, destination, abode of beings, wandering in the round of rebirths, mode of generation, station of consciousness, acquisition of individual existence? Yes. Is there intermediate existence, is intermediate existence existence, destination, abode of beings, wandering in the round of rebirths, mode of generation, station of consciousness, acquisition of individual existence? That should not be said. Etc. Is there action leading to sensual existence? Yes. Is there action leading to intermediate existence? That should not be said. Etc. Are there beings going to sensual existence? Yes. Are there beings going to intermediate existence? That should not be said. Etc. In sensual existence do beings arise, decay, die, pass away, and are reborn? Yes. In intermediate existence do beings arise, decay, die, pass away, and are reborn? That should not be said. Etc. In sensual existence is there materiality, feeling, perception, activities, consciousness? Yes. In intermediate existence is there materiality, feeling, perception, activities, consciousness? That should not be said. Etc. Is sensual existence five-aggregate constituent existence? Yes. Is intermediate existence five-aggregate constituent existence? That should not be said. Etc.

Is there fine-material existence, is fine-material existence existence, destination, abode of beings, wandering in the round of rebirths, mode of generation, station of consciousness, acquisition of individual existence? Yes. Is there intermediate existence, is intermediate existence existence, destination, abode of beings, wandering in the round of rebirths, mode of generation, station of consciousness, acquisition of individual existence? That should not be said. Etc. Is there action leading to fine-material existence? Yes. Is there action leading to intermediate existence? That should not be said. Etc. Are there beings going to fine-material existence? Yes. Are there beings going to intermediate existence? That should not be said. Etc. In fine-material existence do beings arise, decay, die, pass away, and are reborn? Yes. In intermediate existence do beings arise, decay, die, pass away, and are reborn? That should not be said. Etc. In fine-material existence is there

materiality, feeling, perception, activities, consciousness? Yes. In intermediate existence is there materiality, feeling, perception, activities, consciousness? That should not be said. Etc. Is fine-material existence five-aggregate constituent existence? Yes. Is intermediate existence five-aggregate constituent existence? That should not be said. Etc.

Is there immaterial existence, is immaterial existence existence, destination, abode of beings, wandering in the round of rebirths, mode of generation, station of consciousness, acquisition of individual existence? Yes. Is there intermediate existence, is intermediate existence existence, destination, abode of beings, wandering in the round of rebirths, mode of generation, station of consciousness, acquisition of individual existence? That should not be said. Etc. Is there action leading to immaterial existence? Yes. Is there action leading to intermediate existence? That should not be said. Etc. Are there beings going to immaterial existence? Yes. Are there beings going to intermediate existence? That should not be said. Etc. In immaterial existence do beings arise, decay, die, pass away, and are reborn? Yes. In intermediate existence do beings arise, decay, die, pass away, and are reborn? That should not be said. Etc. In immaterial existence is there feeling, perception, activities, consciousness? Yes. In intermediate existence is there feeling, perception, activities, consciousness? That should not be said. Etc. Is immaterial existence four-aggregate constituent existence? Yes. Is intermediate existence four-aggregate constituent existence? That should not be said. Etc.

508. Is there intermediate existence? Yes. Is there intermediate existence for all beings? That should not be said, etc. Is there not intermediate existence for all beings? Yes. If there is not intermediate existence for all beings, then indeed sir, it should not be said - "There is intermediate existence."

Is there intermediate existence? Yes. Is there intermediate existence for a person who has committed an immediacy offence? That should not be said. Etc. Is there not intermediate existence for a person who has committed an immediacy offence? Yes. If there is not intermediate existence for a person who has committed an immediacy offence, then indeed sir, it should not be said - "There is intermediate existence."

Is there not intermediate existence for a person who has committed an immediacy offence? Yes. Is there intermediate existence for a person who has committed an immediacy offence? That should not be said. Etc. Is there not intermediate existence for a person who has committed an immediacy offence? Yes. Is there not no intermediate existence for a person who has committed an immediacy offence? That should not be said. Etc. For a person going to hell, etc. For a person going to the realm of non-percipient beings, etc. Is there intermediate existence for a person going to the immaterial realm? That should not be said. Etc. Is there not intermediate existence for a person going to the immaterial realm? Yes. If there is not intermediate existence for a person going to the immaterial realm, then indeed sir, it should not be said - "There is intermediate existence."

Is there no intermediate existence for a person going to the immaterial realm? Yes. Is there intermediate existence for a person going to the immaterial realm? That should not be said. Etc. Is there not intermediate existence for a person going to the

immaterial realm? Yes. Is it not that there is no intermediate existence for a person going to the immaterial realm? That should not be said. Etc.

509. Should it not be said that there is intermediate existence? Yes. Is there not a person who is an attainer of final nibbāna in the interval? Yes. If there is a person who is an attainer of final nibbāna in the interval, then indeed sir, it should be said - "There is intermediate existence."

Because there is a person who is an attainer of final nibbāna in the interval, is there intermediate existence? Yes. Because there is a person who is an attainer of final nibbāna after the interval, is there existence after the interval? That should not be said. Etc. Because there is a person who is an attainer of final nibbāna in the interval, is there intermediate existence? Yes. A person who is an attainer of final nibbāna without exertion, etc. Because there is a person who is an attainer of final nibbāna through exertion, is there existence through exertion? That should not be said. Etc.

The discussion on intermediate existence is finished.

8. The Eighth Chapter

(75) 3. Treatise on Types of Sensual Pleasure

510. Are only the five types of sensual pleasure the sensual element? Yes. Is there not desire connected with that? Yes. If there is desire connected with that, then indeed sir, it should not be said - "Only the five types of sensual pleasure are the sensual element." Is there not lust connected with that, desire connected with that, desire and lust connected with that, thought connected with that, lust connected with that, lust for thoughts connected with that, rapture connected with that, pleasure connected with that, joy and pleasure connected with that? Yes. If there is joy and pleasure connected with that, then indeed sir, it should not be said - "Only the five types of sensual pleasure are the sensual element."

Are only the five types of sensual pleasure the sensual element? Yes. Is the eye of human beings not the sensual element? That should not be said. Etc. The ear of human beings, etc. The nose of human beings, etc. The tongue of human beings, etc. The body of human beings, etc. Is the mind of human beings not the sensual element? That should not be said. Etc.

Is the mind of human beings not the sensual element? Yes. Was it not said by the Blessed One -

"The five types of sensual pleasure in the world, with mind as the sixth, have been declared;

Having removed desire here, thus one is freed from suffering."

Is there such a discourse? Yes. Well then, it should not be said - "The mind of human beings is not the sensual element."

511. Are only the five types of sensual pleasure the sensual element? Yes. Are the types of sensual pleasure existence, destination, abode of beings, wandering in the round of rebirths, mode of generation, station of consciousness, acquisition of individual existence? That should not be said. Etc. Is there action leading to the types of sensual pleasure? That should not be said. Etc. Are there beings reaching the types

of sensual pleasure? That should not be said. Etc. In the types of sensual pleasure do beings arise, decay, die, pass away, and are reborn? That should not be said. Etc. In the types of sensual pleasure is there materiality, feeling, perception, activities, consciousness? That should not be said. Etc. Are the types of sensual pleasure five-aggregate constituent existence? That should not be said. Etc. In the types of sensual pleasure do Perfectly Enlightened Ones arise, do Individually Self-awakened Ones arise, does a pair of disciples arise? That should not be said. Etc.

Is the sensual element existence, destination, abode of beings, wandering in the round of rebirths, mode of generation, station of consciousness, acquisition of individual existence? Yes. Are the types of sensual pleasure existence, destination, abode of beings, wandering in the round of rebirths, mode of generation, station of consciousness, acquisition of individual existence? That should not be said. Etc. Is there action leading to the sensual element? Yes. Is there action leading to the types of sensual pleasure? That should not be said. Etc. Are there beings reaching the sensual element? Yes. Are there beings reaching the types of sensual pleasure? That should not be said. Etc.

In the sensual element do beings arise, decay, die, pass away, and are reborn? Yes. In the types of sensual pleasure do beings arise, decay, die, pass away, and are reborn? That should not be said, etc. In the sensual element is there materiality, feeling, perception, activities, consciousness? Yes. In the types of sensual pleasure is there materiality, feeling, perception, activities, consciousness? That should not be said. Etc. Is the sensual element five-aggregate constituent existence? Yes. Are the types of sensual pleasure five-aggregate constituent existence? That should not be said. Etc. In the sensual element do Perfectly Enlightened Ones arise, do Individually Self-awakened Ones arise, does a pair of disciples arise? Yes. In the types of sensual pleasure do Perfectly Enlightened Ones arise, do Individually Self-awakened Ones arise, does a pair of disciples arise? That should not be said. Etc.

512. Should it not be said - "Only the five types of sensual pleasure are the sensual element"? Yes. Was it not said by the Blessed One - "There are, monks, these five types of sensual pleasure! Which five? Forms cognisable by eye that are desirable, lovely, agreeable, enticing, connected with sensuality, arousing; sounds cognisable by ear... etc. odours cognisable by nose... etc. flavours cognisable by tongue... etc. tangible objects cognisable by body that are desirable, lovely, agreeable, enticing, connected with sensuality, arousing - These, monks, are the five types of sensual pleasure." Is there such a discourse? Yes. Well then, only the five types of sensual pleasure are the sensual element.

The discussion on the types of sensual pleasure is finished.

8. The Eighth Chapter

(76) 4. Treatise on Sensuality

513. Are the five sense bases only sensual pleasures? Yes. Is there not desire connected with that? Yes. If there is desire connected with that, then indeed sir, it should not be said - "The five sense bases only are sensual pleasures." Is there not lust connected with that, desire connected with that, desire and lust connected with that, thought connected with that, lust connected with that, lust for thoughts

connected with that, rapture connected with that, pleasure connected with that, joy and pleasure connected with that? Yes. If there is joy and pleasure connected with that, then indeed sir, it should not be said - "The five sense bases only are sensual pleasures."

514. Should it not be said - "The five sense bases only are sensual pleasures"? Yes. Was it not said by the Blessed One - "There are, monks, these five types of sensual pleasure! Which five? Forms cognisable by eye, etc. tangible objects cognisable by body that are desirable, lovely, agreeable, enticing, connected with sensuality, arousing - These, monks, are the five types of sensual pleasure." Is there such a discourse? Yes. Well then, the five sense bases only are sensual pleasures.

Are the five sense bases only sensual pleasures? Yes. Was it not said by the Blessed One - "There are, monks, these five types of sensual pleasure! Which five? Forms cognisable by eye, etc. tangible objects cognisable by body that are desirable, lovely, agreeable, enticing, connected with sensuality, arousing - these, monks, are the five types of sensual pleasure. And yet, monks, these are not sensual pleasures; they are called types of sensual pleasure in the Noble One's discipline" -

"Lust for thoughts is a person's sensual pleasure,
Those various things in the world are not sensual pleasures;
Lust for thoughts is a person's sensual pleasure,
The various things remain just so in the world;
But here the wise remove desire for them."

Is there such a discourse? Yes. Well then, it should not be said - "The five sense bases only are sensual pleasures."

The discussion on sensuality is finished.

8. The Eighth Chapter

(77) 5. Treatise on the Material Element

515. Are material states the material element? Yes. Is matter existence, destination, abode of beings, wandering in the round of rebirths, mode of generation, station of consciousness, acquisition of individual existence? That should not be said. Etc. Is there action leading to matter? That should not be said. Etc. Are there beings going to matter? That should not be said. Etc. In matter do beings arise, decay, die, pass away, and are reborn? That should not be said. Etc. In matter is there matter, feeling, perception, activities, consciousness? That should not be said. Etc. Is matter five-aggregate constituent existence? That should not be said. Etc.

Is the material element existence, destination, etc. acquisition of individual existence? Yes. Is matter existence, destination, etc. acquisition of individual existence? That should not be said. Etc. Is there action leading to the material element? Yes. Is there action leading to matter? That should not be said. Etc. Are there beings going to the material element? Yes. Are there beings going to matter? That should not be said. Etc.

In the material element do beings arise, decay, die, pass away, and are reborn? Yes. In matter do beings arise, decay, die, pass away, and are reborn? That should not be said. Etc. In the material element is there matter, feeling, perception, activities, consciousness? Yes. In matter is there matter, feeling, perception, activities, consciousness? That should not be said. Etc. Is the material element five-aggregate constituent existence? Yes. Is matter five-aggregate constituent existence? That should not be said. Etc.

516. Are material states the material element, is there materiality in the sensual element? Yes. Is that same sensual element that material element? That should not be said. Etc. Is that same sensual element that material element? Yes. Is a person possessed of sensual existence possessed of two existences - sensual existence and fine-material existence? That should not be said.

The discussion on the material element is finished.

8. The Eighth Chapter

(78) 6. Discussion on the Immaterial Element

517. Are immaterial states the immaterial sphere element? Yes. Is feeling existence, destination, abode of beings, wandering in the round of rebirths, mode of generation, station of consciousness, acquisition of individual existence? That should not be said. Etc. Is there action leading to feeling? That should not be said. Etc. Are there beings reaching feeling? That should not be said. Etc. In feeling do beings arise, decay, die, pass away, and are reborn? That should not be said. Etc. In feeling is there feeling, perception, activities, consciousness? That should not be said. Etc. Is feeling four-aggregate constituent existence? That should not be said. Etc.

Is the immaterial sphere element existence, destination, etc. acquisition of individual existence? Yes. Is feeling existence, destination, etc. acquisition of individual existence? That should not be said. Etc. Is there action leading to the immaterial sphere element? Yes. Is there action leading to feeling? That should not be said. Etc. Are there beings reaching the immaterial sphere element? Yes. Are there beings reaching feeling? That should not be said. Etc.

In the immaterial sphere element do beings arise, decay, die, pass away, and are reborn? Yes. In feeling do beings arise, decay, die, pass away, and are reborn? That should not be said. Etc. In the immaterial sphere element is there feeling, perception, activities, consciousness? Yes. In feeling is there feeling, perception, activities, consciousness? That should not be said. Etc. Is the immaterial sphere element four-aggregate constituent existence? Yes. Is feeling four-aggregate constituent existence? That should not be said. Etc.

518. Are immaterial states the immaterial sphere element, in the sensual element is there feeling, perception, activities, consciousness? Yes. Is that same sensual element that immaterial sphere element? That should not be said. Etc. Is that same sensual element that immaterial sphere element? Yes. Is a person possessed of sensual existence possessed of two existences - sensual existence and immaterial existence? That should not be said. Etc.

Are material states the material element, are immaterial states the immaterial sphere element, in the sensual element is there materiality, feeling, perception, activities, consciousness? Yes. Is that same sensual element that material element, that immaterial sphere element? That should not be said. Etc. Is that same sensual element that material element, that immaterial sphere element? Yes. Is a person possessed of sensual existence possessed of three existences - sensual existence and fine-material existence and immaterial existence? That should not be said. Etc.

The discussion on the immaterial element is finished.

8. The Eighth Chapter

(79) 7. Discussion on Sense Bases in the Material Element

519. Is there individual existence having six sense bases in the fine-material element? Yes. Is there the nose sense base there? Yes. Is there the odour sense base there? That should not be said. Etc. Is there the tongue sense base there? Yes. Is there the flavour sense base there? That should not be said. Etc. Is there the body sense base there? Yes. Is there the touch sense base there? That should not be said. Etc.

Is there no odour sense base there? Yes. Is there no nose sense base there? That should not be said. Etc. Is there no flavour sense base there? Yes. Is there no tongue sense base there? That should not be said. Etc. Is there no touch sense base there? Yes. Is there no body sense base there? That should not be said. Etc.

520. Is there the eye sense base there, is there the visible form sense base? Yes. Is there the nose sense base there, is there the odour sense base? That should not be said. Etc. Is there the eye sense base there, is there the visible form sense base? Yes. Is there the tongue sense base there, is there the flavour sense base, etc. Is there the body sense base there, is there the touch sense base? That should not be said. Etc. Is there the ear sense base there, is there the sound sense base, etc. Is there the mind sense base there, is there the mind-object sense base? Yes. Is there the nose sense base there, is there the odour sense base? That should not be said. Etc. Is there the mind sense base there, is there the mind-object sense base? Yes. Is there the tongue sense base there, is there the flavour sense base, etc. Is there the body sense base there, is there the touch sense base? That should not be said. Etc.

Is there the nose sense base there, is there no odour sense base? Yes. Is there the eye sense base there, is there no visible form sense base? That should not be said. Etc. Is there the nose sense base there, is there no odour sense base? Yes. Is there the ear sense base there, is there no sound sense base, etc. Is there the mind sense base there, is there no mind-object sense base? That should not be said. Etc. Is there the tongue sense base there, is there no flavour sense base, etc. Is there the body sense base there, is there no touch sense base? Yes. Is there the eye sense base there, is there no visible form sense base? That should not be said. Etc. Is there the body sense base there, is there no touch sense base? Yes. Is there the ear sense base there, is there no sound sense base, etc. Is there the mind sense base there, is there no mind-object sense base? That should not be said. Etc.

521. Is there the eye sense base there, is there the visible form sense base, does one see that visible form with that eye? Yes. Is there the nose sense base there, is there the odour sense base, does one smell that odour with that nose? That should not be said. Etc. Is there the eye sense base there, is there the visible form sense base, does one see that visible form with that eye? Yes. Is there the tongue sense base there, is there the flavour sense base, does one taste that flavour with that tongue, etc. Is there the body sense base there, is there the touch sense base, does one touch that tangible object with that body? That should not be said. Etc.

Is there the ear sense base there, is there the sound sense base, etc. Is there the mind sense base there, is there the mind-object sense base, does one cognise that mental phenomenon with that mind? Yes. Is there the nose sense base there, is there the odour sense base, does one smell that odour with that nose? That should not be said. Etc. Is there the mind sense base there, is there the mind-object sense base, does one cognise that mental phenomenon with that mind? Yes. Is there the tongue sense base there, is there the flavour sense base, etc. Is there the body sense base there, is there the touch sense base, does one touch that tangible object with that body? That should not be said. Etc.

Is there the nose sense base there, is there the odour sense base, and does one not smell that odour with that nose? Yes. Is there the eye sense base there, is there the visible form sense base, and does one not see that visible form with that eye? That should not be said. Etc. Is there the nose sense base there, is there the odour sense base, and does one not smell that odour with that nose? Yes. Is there the ear sense base there, is there the sound sense base, etc. Is there the mind sense base there, is there the mind-object sense base, and does one not cognise that mental phenomenon with that mind? That should not be said. Etc.

Is there the tongue sense base there, is there the flavour sense base, etc. Is there the body sense base there, is there the touch sense base, and does one not touch that tangible object with that body? Yes. Is there the ear sense base there, is there the sound sense base, etc. Is there the mind sense base there, is there the mind-object sense base, and does one not cognise that mental phenomenon with that mind? That should not be said. Etc.

522. Is there the nose sense base there, is there the odour sense base, does one smell that odour with that nose? Yes. Is there the odour of roots, the odour of heartwood, the odour of bark, the odour of leaves, the odour of flowers, the odour of fruits, the odour of raw things, the odour of raw flesh, pleasant odour, unpleasant odour there? That should not be said. Etc.

Is there the tongue sense base there, is there the flavour sense base, does one taste that flavour with that tongue? Yes. Is there root flavour, trunk flavour, bark flavour, leaf flavour, flower flavour, fruit flavour, sour, sweet, bitter, pungent, salty, alkaline, acidic, astringent, pleasant, unpleasant there? That should not be said. Etc.

Is there the body sense base there, is there the touch sense base, does one touch that tangible object with that body? Yes. Is there hard, soft, smooth, rough, pleasant contact, unpleasant contact, heavy, light there? That should not be said. Etc.

523. Should it not be said - "Individual existence having six sense bases is in the fine-material element"? Yes. Is there not there the sign of nose, the sign of tongue, the sign of body? Yes. If there is there the sign of nose, the sign of tongue, the sign of body, then indeed sir, it should be said - "Individual existence having six sense bases is in the fine-material element."

The discussion on sense bases in the material element is finished.

8. The Eighth Chapter

(80) 8. Discussion on Matter in the Immaterial-Sphere

524. Is there materiality in the immaterial-sphere of existence? Yes. Is it fine-material existence, fine-material destination, fine-material abode of beings, fine-material wandering in the round of rebirths, fine-material mode of generation, fine-material acquisition of individual existence? That should not be said. Etc. Is it not immaterial existence, immaterial destination, immaterial abode of beings, immaterial wandering in the round of rebirths, immaterial mode of generation, immaterial acquisition of individual existence? Yes. If it is immaterial existence, etc. immaterial acquisition of individual existence, then indeed sir, it should not be said - "There is materiality in the immaterial-sphere of existence."

Is there materiality in the immaterial-sphere of existence? Yes. Is it five-aggregate constituent existence, destination, abode of beings, wandering in the round of rebirths, mode of generation, station of consciousness, acquisition of individual existence? That should not be said. Etc. Is it not four-aggregate constituent existence, etc. acquisition of individual existence? Yes. If it is four-aggregate constituent existence, destination, etc. acquisition of individual existence, then indeed sir, it should not be said - "There is materiality in the immaterial-sphere of existence."

525. There is matter in the material element, and is it fine-material existence, fine-material destination, fine-material abode of beings, fine-material wandering in the round of rebirths, fine-material mode of generation, fine-material acquisition of individual existence? Yes. There is matter in the immaterial, and is it fine-material existence, fine-material destination, etc. fine-material acquisition of individual existence? That should not be said. Etc. There is matter in the material element, and is it five-aggregate constituent existence, destination, etc. acquisition of individual existence? Yes. There is matter in the immaterial, and is it five-aggregate constituent existence, destination, etc. acquisition of individual existence? That should not be said. Etc. There is matter in the immaterial, and is it immaterial existence, immaterial destination, immaterial abode of beings, immaterial wandering in the round of rebirths, immaterial mode of generation, immaterial acquisition of individual existence? Yes. There is matter in the material element, and is it immaterial existence, immaterial destination, etc. immaterial acquisition of individual existence? That should not be said. Etc. There is matter in the immaterial, and is it four-aggregate constituent existence, destination, etc. acquisition of individual existence? Yes. There is matter in the material element, and is it four-aggregate constituent existence, destination, etc. acquisition of individual existence? That should not be said. Etc.

526. Is there materiality in the immaterial-sphere of existence? Yes. Was it not said by the Blessed One that the immaterial is the escape from materiality? Yes. If it was said by the Blessed One that the immaterial is the escape from materiality, then indeed sir, it should not be said - "There is materiality in the immaterial-sphere of existence."

The immaterial was said by the Blessed One to be the escape from materiality, is there materiality in the immaterial-sphere of existence? Yes. Renunciation was said by the Blessed One to be the escape from sensual pleasures, are there sensual pleasures in renunciation, are there mental corruptions in those without mental corruptions, is there the included in the not included? That should not be said. Etc.

The discussion on matter in the immaterial-sphere is finished.

8. The Eighth Chapter

(81) 9. Discussion on Matter Being Action

527. Is bodily action as matter arisen from wholesome consciousness wholesome? Yes. Is it with sense-object, is there adverting, reflective attention, attentiveness, attention, volition, longing, aspiration for it? That should not be said. Etc. Is it not without sense-object, is there not adverting, reflective attention, attentiveness, attention, volition, longing, aspiration for it? Yes. If it is without sense-object, there is not adverting for it, etc. aspiration, then indeed sir, it should not be said - "bodily action as matter arisen from wholesome consciousness is wholesome."

Is contact arisen from wholesome consciousness wholesome, with sense-object, is there adverting for it, etc. aspiration? Yes. Is bodily action as matter arisen from wholesome consciousness wholesome, with sense-object, is there adverting for it, etc. aspiration? That should not be said. Etc. Is feeling arisen from wholesome consciousness, etc. perception... volition... faith... energy... mindfulness, concentration, etc. Is wisdom wholesome, with sense-object, is there adverting for it, etc. aspiration? Yes. Is bodily action as matter arisen from wholesome consciousness wholesome, with sense-object, is there adverting for it, etc. aspiration? That should not be said. Etc.

Is bodily action as matter arisen from wholesome consciousness wholesome, without sense-object, is there not adverting for it, etc. aspiration? Yes. Is contact arisen from wholesome consciousness wholesome, without sense-object, is there not adverting for it, etc. aspiration? That should not be said. Etc. Is bodily action as matter arisen from wholesome consciousness wholesome, without sense-object, is there not adverting for it, etc. aspiration? Yes. Is feeling arisen from wholesome consciousness, etc. perception... volition... faith... energy... mindfulness, concentration, etc. Is wisdom wholesome, without sense-object, is there not adverting for it, etc. aspiration? That should not be said. Etc.

528. Is bodily action as matter arisen from wholesome consciousness wholesome? Yes. Is whatever matter arisen from wholesome consciousness all that wholesome? That should not be said. Etc.

Is bodily action as matter arisen from wholesome consciousness wholesome? Yes. Is the visible form sense base arisen from wholesome consciousness wholesome? That should not be said. Etc. Is bodily action as matter arisen from wholesome consciousness wholesome? Yes. Is the sound sense base arisen from wholesome consciousness... etc. The odour sense base... The flavour sense base... the touch sense base... etc. The solid element... The liquid element... The heat element... etc. The air element wholesome? That should not be said. Etc.

Is the visible form sense base arisen from wholesome consciousness indeterminate? Yes. Is bodily action as matter arisen from wholesome consciousness indeterminate? That should not be said. Etc. Is the sound sense base arisen from wholesome consciousness... etc. The odour sense base... The flavour sense base... the touch sense base... etc. The solid element... The liquid element... The heat element... etc. The air element indeterminate? Yes. Is bodily action as matter arisen from wholesome consciousness indeterminate? That should not be said. Etc.

529. Is bodily action as matter arisen from wholesome consciousness without sense-object wholesome? Yes. Is the visible form sense base arisen from wholesome consciousness without sense-object wholesome? That should not be said. Etc. Is bodily action as matter arisen from wholesome consciousness without sense-object wholesome? Yes. Is the sound sense base arisen from wholesome consciousness... etc. The odour sense base... The flavour sense base... the touch sense base... etc. The solid element... The liquid element... The heat element... etc. Is the air element without sense-object wholesome? That should not be said. Etc.

Is the visible form sense base arisen from wholesome consciousness without sense-object indeterminate? Yes. Is bodily action as matter arisen from wholesome consciousness without sense-object indeterminate? That should not be said. Etc. Is the sound sense base arisen from wholesome consciousness... etc. The odour sense base... The flavour sense base... the touch sense base... etc. The solid element... The liquid element... The heat element... etc. Is the air element without sense-object indeterminate? Yes. Is bodily action as matter arisen from wholesome consciousness without sense-object indeterminate? That should not be said. Etc.

530. Is bodily action as matter arisen from wholesome consciousness, dissociated from contact, wholesome? Yes. Is the visible form sense base arisen from wholesome consciousness, dissociated from contact, wholesome? That should not be said. Etc. Is bodily action as matter arisen from wholesome consciousness, dissociated from contact, wholesome? Yes. Is the sound sense base arisen from wholesome consciousness... etc. The odour sense base... The flavour sense base... the touch sense base... etc. The solid element... The liquid element... The heat element... etc. Is the air element, dissociated from contact, wholesome? That should not be said. Etc.

Is the visible form sense base arisen from wholesome consciousness, dissociated from contact, indeterminate? Yes. Is bodily action as matter arisen from wholesome consciousness, dissociated from contact, indeterminate? That should not be said. Etc. Is the sound sense base arisen from wholesome consciousness... etc. The odour sense base... The flavour sense base... the touch sense base... etc. The solid element... The liquid element... The heat element... etc. Is the air element,

dissociated from contact, indeterminate? Yes. Is bodily action as matter arisen from wholesome consciousness, dissociated from contact, indeterminate? That should not be said. Etc.

531. Is bodily action as matter arisen from wholesome consciousness, without sense-object, dissociated from contact, wholesome? Yes. Is the visible form sense base arisen from wholesome consciousness, without sense-object, dissociated from contact, wholesome? That should not be said. Etc. Is bodily action as matter arisen from wholesome consciousness, without sense-object, dissociated from contact, wholesome? Yes. Is the sound sense base arisen from wholesome consciousness... etc. The odour sense base... The flavour sense base... the touch sense base... etc. The solid element... The liquid element... The heat element... etc. Is the air element without sense-object, dissociated from contact, wholesome? That should not be said. Etc.

Is the visible form sense base arisen from wholesome consciousness, without sense-object, dissociated from contact, indeterminate? Yes. Is bodily action as matter arisen from wholesome consciousness, without sense-object, dissociated from contact, indeterminate? That should not be said. Etc. Is the sound sense base arisen from wholesome consciousness... etc. The odour sense base... The flavour sense base... the touch sense base... etc. The solid element... The liquid element... The heat element... etc. Is the air element without sense-object, dissociated from contact, indeterminate? Yes. Is bodily action as matter arisen from wholesome consciousness, without sense-object, dissociated from contact, indeterminate? That should not be said. Etc.

532. Is verbal action as matter arisen from wholesome consciousness wholesome? Yes. Is it with sense-object, is there advertent for it, etc. aspiration? That should not be said. Etc. Is it not without sense-object, is there not advertent for it, etc. aspiration? Yes. If it is without sense-object, there is not advertent for it, etc. aspiration; then indeed sir, it should not be said - "verbal action as matter arisen from wholesome consciousness is wholesome."

Is contact arisen from wholesome consciousness wholesome, with sense-object, is there advertent for it, etc. aspiration? Yes. Is verbal action as matter arisen from wholesome consciousness wholesome, with sense-object, is there advertent for it, etc. aspiration? That should not be said. Etc. Is feeling arisen from wholesome consciousness, etc. perception... volition... faith... energy... mindfulness, concentration, etc. Is wisdom wholesome, with sense-object, is there advertent for it, etc. aspiration? Yes. Is verbal action as matter arisen from wholesome consciousness wholesome, with sense-object, is there advertent for it, etc. aspiration? That should not be said. Etc.

Is verbal action as matter arisen from wholesome consciousness wholesome, without sense-object, is there not advertent for it, etc. aspiration? Yes. Is contact arisen from wholesome consciousness wholesome, without sense-object, is there not advertent for it, etc. aspiration? That should not be said. Etc. Is verbal action as matter arisen from wholesome consciousness wholesome, without sense-object, is there not advertent for it, etc. aspiration? Yes. Is feeling arisen from wholesome consciousness,

etc. perception... volition... faith... energy... mindfulness, concentration, etc. Is wisdom wholesome, without sense-object, is there not adverting for it, etc. aspiration? That should not be said. Etc.

Is verbal action as matter arisen from wholesome consciousness wholesome? Yes. Is whatever matter arisen from wholesome consciousness all that wholesome? That should not be said. Etc. As with bodily action, so with verbal action.

533. Is bodily action as matter arisen from unwholesome consciousness unwholesome? Yes. Is it with sense-object, is there adverting, reflective attention, attentiveness, attention, volition, longing, aspiration for it? That should not be said. Etc. Is it not without sense-object, is there not adverting, reflective attention, attentiveness, attention, volition, longing, aspiration for it? Yes. If it is without sense-object, there is not adverting, reflective attention, attentiveness, attention, volition, longing, aspiration for it; then indeed sir, it should not be said - "bodily action as matter arisen from unwholesome consciousness is unwholesome."

Is contact arisen from unwholesome consciousness unwholesome, with sense-object, is there adverting for it, etc. aspiration? Yes. Is bodily action as matter arisen from unwholesome consciousness unwholesome, with sense-object, is there adverting for it, etc. aspiration? That should not be said. Etc. Is feeling arisen from unwholesome consciousness, etc. perception... volition... lust... Hate... Delusion... conceit... View... Sceptical doubt... Sloth... Restlessness... shamelessness... etc. Is moral fearlessness unwholesome, with sense-object, is there adverting for it, etc. aspiration? Yes. Is bodily action as matter arisen from unwholesome consciousness unwholesome, with sense-object, is there adverting for it, etc. aspiration? That should not be said. Etc.

Is bodily action as matter arisen from unwholesome consciousness unwholesome, without sense-object, is there not adverting for it, etc. aspiration? Yes. Is contact arisen from unwholesome consciousness unwholesome, without sense-object, is there not adverting for it, etc. aspiration? That should not be said. Etc. Is bodily action as matter arisen from unwholesome consciousness unwholesome, without sense-object, is there not adverting for it, etc. aspiration? Yes. Is feeling arisen from unwholesome consciousness, etc. perception... volition... lust... Hate... Delusion... conceit... View... Sceptical doubt... Sloth... Restlessness... shamelessness... etc. moral fearlessness unwholesome, without sense-object, is there not adverting for it, etc. aspiration? That should not be said. Etc.

Is bodily action as matter arisen from unwholesome consciousness unwholesome? Yes. Is whatever matter arisen from unwholesome consciousness all that unwholesome? That should not be said. Etc.

534. Is verbal action as matter arisen from unwholesome consciousness unwholesome? Yes. Is it with sense-object, is there adverting for it, etc. aspiration? That should not be said. Etc. Is it not without sense-object, is there not adverting for it, etc. aspiration? Yes. If it is without sense-object, there is not adverting for it, etc. aspiration; then indeed sir, it should not be said - "verbal action as matter arisen from unwholesome consciousness is unwholesome."

Is contact arisen from unwholesome consciousness unwholesome, with sense-object, is there adverting for it, etc. aspiration? Yes. Is verbal action as matter arisen from unwholesome consciousness unwholesome, with sense-object, is there adverting for it, etc. aspiration? That should not be said. Etc. Is feeling arisen from unwholesome consciousness, etc. perception... volition... lust... Hate... Delusion... conceit... View... Sceptical doubt... Sloth... Restlessness... shamelessness... etc. Is moral fearlessness unwholesome, with sense-object, is there adverting for it, etc. aspiration? Yes. Is verbal action as matter arisen from unwholesome consciousness unwholesome, with sense-object, is there adverting for it, etc. aspiration? That should not be said. Etc.

Is verbal action as matter arisen from unwholesome consciousness unwholesome, without sense-object, is there not adverting for it, etc. aspiration? Yes. Is contact arisen from unwholesome consciousness unwholesome, without sense-object, is there not adverting for it, etc. aspiration? That should not be said. Etc. Is verbal action as matter arisen from unwholesome consciousness unwholesome, without sense-object, is there not adverting for it, etc. aspiration? Yes. Is feeling arisen from unwholesome consciousness, etc. perception... volition... lust... Hate... Delusion... conceit... View... Sceptical doubt... Sloth... Restlessness... shamelessness... etc. moral fearlessness unwholesome, without sense-object, is there not adverting for it, etc. aspiration? That should not be said. Etc.

Is verbal action as matter arisen from unwholesome consciousness unwholesome? Yes. Is whatever matter arisen from unwholesome consciousness all that unwholesome? That should not be said. Etc.

535. Is verbal action as matter arisen from unwholesome consciousness unwholesome? Yes. Is the visible form sense base arisen from unwholesome consciousness unwholesome? That should not be said. Etc. Is verbal action as matter arisen from unwholesome consciousness unwholesome? Yes. Is the sound sense base arisen from unwholesome consciousness... etc. The odour sense base... The flavour sense base... the touch sense base... etc. The solid element... The liquid element... The heat element... etc. The air element, semen, tears, blood, sweat unwholesome? That should not be said. Etc.

Is the visible form sense base arisen from unwholesome consciousness indeterminate? Yes. Is verbal action as matter arisen from unwholesome consciousness indeterminate? That should not be said. Etc. Is the sound sense base arisen from unwholesome consciousness... etc. The odour sense base... The flavour sense base... the touch sense base... etc. The solid element... The liquid element... The heat element... etc. The air element, semen, tears, blood, sweat indeterminate? Yes. Is verbal action as matter arisen from unwholesome consciousness indeterminate? That should not be said. Etc.

536. Is verbal action as matter arisen from unwholesome consciousness without sense-object unwholesome? Yes. Is the visible form sense base arisen from unwholesome consciousness without sense-object unwholesome? That should not be said. Etc. Is verbal action as matter arisen from unwholesome consciousness without sense-object unwholesome? Yes. Is the sound sense base arisen from unwholesome consciousness... etc. odour sense base... etc. flavour sense base... etc. The touch

sense base... The solid element... The liquid element... The heat element... etc. The air element, semen, tears, blood, sweat without sense-object unwholesome? That should not be said. Etc.

Is the visible form sense base arisen from unwholesome consciousness without sense-object indeterminate? Yes. Is verbal action as matter arisen from unwholesome consciousness without sense-object indeterminate? That should not be said. Etc. Is the sound sense base arisen from unwholesome consciousness... etc. The odour sense base... The flavour sense base... the touch sense base... etc. The solid element... The liquid element... The heat element... etc. The air element, semen, tears, blood, sweat without sense-object indeterminate? Yes. Is verbal action as matter arisen from unwholesome consciousness without sense-object indeterminate? That should not be said. Etc.

537. Is verbal action as matter arisen from unwholesome consciousness, dissociated from contact, unwholesome? Yes. Is the visible form sense base arisen from unwholesome consciousness, dissociated from contact, unwholesome? That should not be said. Etc. Is verbal action as matter arisen from unwholesome consciousness, dissociated from contact, unwholesome? Yes. Is the sound sense base arisen from unwholesome consciousness... etc. The odour sense base... The flavour sense base... The touch sense base... The solid element... The liquid element... The heat element... etc. The air element, semen, tears, blood, sweat, dissociated from contact, unwholesome? That should not be said. Etc.

Is the visible form sense base arisen from unwholesome consciousness, dissociated from contact, indeterminate? Yes. Is verbal action as matter arisen from unwholesome consciousness, without sense-object, dissociated from contact, indeterminate? That should not be said. Etc. Is the sound sense base arisen from unwholesome consciousness... etc. The odour sense base... The flavour sense base... The touch sense base... The solid element... The liquid element... The heat element... etc. The air element, semen, tears, blood, sweat, without sense-object, dissociated from contact, indeterminate? Yes. Is verbal action as matter arisen from unwholesome consciousness, without sense-object, dissociated from contact, indeterminate? That should not be said. Etc.

Is verbal action as matter arisen from unwholesome consciousness, without sense-object, dissociated from contact, unwholesome? Yes. Is the visible form sense base arisen from unwholesome consciousness, without sense-object, dissociated from contact, unwholesome? That should not be said. Etc. Is verbal action as matter arisen from unwholesome consciousness, without sense-object, dissociated from contact, unwholesome? Yes. Is the sound sense base arisen from unwholesome consciousness... etc. The odour sense base... The flavour sense base... The touch sense base... The solid element... The liquid element... The heat element... etc. The air element, semen, tears, blood, sweat, without sense-object, dissociated from contact, unwholesome? That should not be said. Etc.

Is the visible form sense base arisen from unwholesome consciousness, without sense-object, dissociated from contact, indeterminate? Yes. Is verbal action as matter arisen from unwholesome consciousness, without sense-object, dissociated from contact, indeterminate? That should not be said. Etc. Is the sound sense base arisen

from unwholesome consciousness... etc. The odour sense base... The flavour sense base... The touch sense base... The solid element... The liquid element... The heat element... etc. The air element, semen, tears, blood, sweat, without sense-object, dissociated from contact, indeterminate? Yes. Is verbal action as matter arisen from unwholesome consciousness, without sense-object, dissociated from contact, indeterminate? That should not be said. Etc.

538. Should it not be said - "Matter is both wholesome and unwholesome"? Yes. Is not bodily action and verbal action both wholesome and unwholesome? Yes. If bodily action and verbal action are both wholesome and unwholesome, then indeed sir, it should be said - "Matter is both wholesome and unwholesome."

Is matter both wholesome and unwholesome? Yes. Is the eye sense base both wholesome and unwholesome? That should not be said. Etc. Is matter both wholesome and unwholesome? Yes. The ear sense base... etc. the nose sense base... the tongue sense base... the body sense base... the visible form sense base... the sound sense base... The odour sense base... The flavour sense base... The touch sense base... The solid element... The liquid element... The heat element... etc. The air element, semen, tears, blood, sweat both wholesome and unwholesome? That should not be said. Etc.

Is the body matter, is bodily action matter? Yes. Is the mind matter, is mental action matter? That should not be said, etc. Is the mind immaterial, is mental action immaterial? Yes. Is the body immaterial, is bodily action immaterial? That should not be said. Etc.

Is the body matter, is bodily action matter? Yes. Is the eye sense base matter, is eye-consciousness matter? That should not be said. Etc. Is the body matter, is bodily action matter? Yes. Is the ear sense base matter, is ear-consciousness matter? That should not be said. Etc. Is the body matter, is bodily action matter? Yes. Is the nose sense base matter, is nose-consciousness matter? That should not be said. Etc. Is the body matter, is bodily action matter? Yes. Is the tongue sense base matter, is tongue-consciousness matter? That should not be said. Etc. Is the body matter, is bodily action matter? Yes. Is the body sense base matter, is body-consciousness matter? That should not be said. Etc.

539. Is materiality action? Yes. Was it not said by the Blessed One - "Volition, monks, I call action; having willed, one performs action by body, by speech, by mind"! Is there such a discourse? Yes. Well then, it should not be said - "materiality is action."

Is materiality action? Yes. Was it not said by the Blessed One - "If, Ānanda, there is body, because of bodily volition there arises internal pleasure and pain; if, Ānanda, there is speech, because of verbal volition there arises internal pleasure and pain; if, Ānanda, there is mind, because of mental volition there arises internal pleasure and pain"! Is there such a discourse? Yes. Well then, it should not be said - "materiality is action."

Is materiality action? Yes. Was it not said by the Blessed One - "Threefold, monks, bodily volition is unwholesome bodily action with painful consequences, with painful results; fourfold, monks, verbal volition is unwholesome verbal action with painful consequences, with painful results; threefold, monks, mental volition is unwholesome

mental action with painful consequences, with painful results; threefold, monks, bodily volition is wholesome bodily action with pleasant consequences, with pleasant results; fourfold, monks, verbal volition is wholesome verbal action with pleasant consequences, with pleasant results; threefold, monks, mental volition is wholesome mental action with pleasant consequences, with pleasant results"! Is there such a discourse? Yes. Well then, it should not be said - "materiality is action."

Is materiality action? Yes. Was it not said by the Blessed One - "If, Ānanda, this foolish man Samiddhi, thus asked by Pāṭaliputta the wandering ascetic, had answered thus - 'Having done an intentional action, friend Pāṭaliputta, by body, speech and mind, to be experienced as pleasant, he experiences pleasure; having done an intentional action, friend Pāṭaliputta, by body, speech and mind, to be experienced as unpleasant, he experiences suffering; having done an intentional action, friend Pāṭaliputta, by body, speech and mind, to be experienced as neither-unpleasant-nor-pleasant, he experiences neither-unpleasant-nor-pleasant feeling' - answering thus, Ānanda, the foolish man Samiddhi would have answered Pāṭaliputta the wandering ascetic rightly"! Is there such a discourse? Yes. Well then, it should not be said - "materiality is action."

The discussion on matter being action is finished.

8. The Eighth Chapter

(82) 10. Discussion on the Life Faculty

540. There is no material life faculty? Yes. There is no life span, duration, sustenance, nourishment, movement, conduct, protection of material states? That should not be said. Etc. There is life span, duration, sustenance, nourishment, movement, conduct, protection of material states? Yes. If there is life span, duration, sustenance, nourishment, movement, conduct, protection of material states, then indeed sir, it should not be said - "There is no material life faculty."

There is life span, duration, sustenance, nourishment, movement, conduct, protection of immaterial states, there is immaterial life faculty? Yes. There is life span, duration, sustenance, nourishment, movement, conduct, protection of material states, there is material life faculty? That should not be said. Etc. There is life span, duration, sustenance, nourishment, movement, conduct, protection of material states, there is no material life faculty? Yes. There is life span, duration, sustenance, nourishment, movement, conduct, protection of immaterial states, there is no immaterial life faculty? That should not be said. Etc.

The life span of immaterial states is the immaterial life faculty? Yes. The life span of material states is the material life faculty? That should not be said. Etc. The life span of material states should not be said - "Material life faculty"? Yes. The life span of immaterial states should not be said - "Immaterial life faculty"? That should not be said. Etc.

541. The life span of material states is the immaterial life faculty? Yes. The life span of immaterial states is the material life faculty? That should not be said. Etc.

The life span of immaterial states should not be said - "Material life faculty"? Yes. The life span of material states should not be said - "Immaterial life faculty"? That should not be said. Etc.

The life span of material and immaterial states is the immaterial life faculty? Yes. The life span of material and immaterial states is the material life faculty? That should not be said. Etc.

The life span of material and immaterial states should not be said - "Material life faculty"? Yes. The life span of material and immaterial states should not be said - "Immaterial life faculty"? That should not be said. Etc. There is no material life faculty? Yes. For one who has attained cessation there is no life faculty? That should not be said. Etc.

542. For one who has attained cessation there is life faculty? Yes. If for one who has attained cessation there is life faculty, then indeed sir, it should not be said - "There is no material life faculty."

For one who has attained cessation there is life faculty? Yes. Included in which aggregate? Included in the aggregate of mental activities. For one who has attained cessation there is the aggregate of mental activities? That should not be said. Etc.

For one who has attained cessation there is the aggregate of mental activities? Yes. For one who has attained cessation there is the aggregate of feeling, etc. the aggregate of perception, etc. the aggregate of consciousness? That should not be said. Etc.

For one who has attained cessation there is the aggregate of feeling, etc. the aggregate of perception, etc. the aggregate of consciousness? Yes. Not attained to cessation? That should not be said. Etc.

543. There is no material life faculty? Yes. For non-percipient beings there is no life faculty? That should not be said. Etc.

For non-percipient beings there is life faculty? Yes. If for non-percipient beings there is life faculty, then indeed sir, it should not be said - "There is no material life faculty." For non-percipient beings there is life faculty? Yes. Included in which aggregate? Included in the aggregate of mental activities. For non-percipient beings there is the aggregate of mental activities? That should not be said. Etc.

For non-percipient beings there is the aggregate of mental activities? Yes. For non-percipient beings there is the aggregate of feeling, etc. the aggregate of perception, etc. the aggregate of consciousness? That should not be said. Etc.

For non-percipient beings there is the aggregate of feeling, etc. the aggregate of perception, etc. the aggregate of consciousness? Yes. Five-aggregate constituent existence? That should not be said. Etc.

544. Does the life faculty arise from rebirth-seeking consciousness, when the rebirth-seeking consciousness is destroyed, get destroyed in part? Yes. Does contact arise from rebirth-seeking consciousness, when the rebirth-seeking consciousness is destroyed, get destroyed in part? That should not be said. Etc.

Does contact arisen from rebirth-seeking consciousness, when the rebirth-seeking consciousness is destroyed, get destroyed completely? Yes. Does the life faculty arisen from rebirth-seeking consciousness, when the rebirth-seeking consciousness is destroyed, get destroyed completely? That should not be said. Etc.

545. Are there two life faculties? Yes. Does one live by two lives, does one die by two deaths? Yes.

The discussion on the life faculty is finished.

8. The Eighth Chapter

(83) 11. Discussion on Action as Cause

546. Does a Worthy One fall away from arahantship because of action? Yes. Does a stream-enterer fall away from the fruition of stream-entry because of action? That should not be said. Etc. Does a Worthy One fall away from arahantship because of action? Yes. Does a once-returner because of action, etc. Does a non-returner fall away from the fruition of non-returning? That should not be said. Etc.

Does a stream-enterer not fall away from the fruition of stream-entry because of action? Yes. Does a Worthy One not fall away from arahantship because of action? That should not be said, etc. Does a once-returner because of action, etc. Does a non-returner not fall away from the fruition of non-returning? Yes. Does a Worthy One not fall away from arahantship because of action? That should not be said. Etc.

Does a Worthy One fall away from arahantship because of action? Yes. Because of the action of killing living beings? That should not be said. Etc. Because of the action of taking what is not given, etc. Because of the action of sexual misconduct... Because of the action of lying... Because of the action of divisive speech... Because of the action of harsh speech... Because of the action of idle chatter... Because of the action of matricide... Because of the action of patricide... Because of the action of killing a Worthy One... Because of the action of drawing blood, etc. Because of the action of schism in the Community? That should not be said. Etc.

Because of which action? Well then, because one slanders the Worthy Ones. Because of the action of slandering the Worthy Ones, does a Worthy One fall away from arahantship? Yes. Do all those who slander the Worthy Ones realise arahantship? That should not be said. Etc.

The discussion on action as cause is finished.

The Eighth Chapter.

Its summary:

Six destinations, intermediate existence, only five types of sensual pleasure are the sensual element, only five sense bases are sensual pleasures, material states are the material element, immaterial states are the immaterial sphere element, individual existence having six sense bases is in the fine-material element, there is matter in the immaterial, matter is action, matter is life, one falls away because of action.



ABOUT THIS TRANSLATION

This translation is part of the PaliVerse Project - the first complete, unified English translation of the Pāli Canon together with its Commentaries (Aṭṭhakathā) and Subcommentaries (Ṭīkā), accompanied by interactive study tools. PaliVerse is an ongoing project. The AI translations are continuously improved through experts' revisions and feedback. This text is the latest translation as of the date of download.

PDF Creation Date: 14 September 2026

HOW TO CITE

*PaliVerse (2026). The Triple Canon. 3. The Canon of Higher Teaching. 05. Points of Controversy. 8. Eighth Chapter
Retrieved from paliverse.org*

© 2026 The PaliVerse Project · All Rights Reserved
Centre for Study and Practice of Theravāda Buddhism
Civic Non-Profit Company registered in Greece
This PDF may be freely distributed for study and practice.

PaliVerse.org