

THE PALIVERSE PROJECT

The Universe of Buddha's Wisdom

The Triple Canon (Tipiṭaka)

3. The Canon of Higher Teaching (3. Abhidhamma
Piṭaka)

05. Points of Controversy (05. Kathāvatthupāḷi)

11. Eleventh Chapter (11. Ekādasamavaggo)

[Kv 11.1-8, PTS 444-462]



PaliVerse

05. Points of Controversy

11. The Eleventh Chapter

(106-108) 1-3. The Discussion on the Three Underlying Tendencies Also

605. Are underlying tendencies indeterminate? Yes. Resultant indeterminate, functional indeterminate, matter, Nibbāna, the eye sense base, etc. the touch sense base? That should not be said. Etc.

Is the underlying tendency to sensual lust indeterminate? Yes. Are sensual lust, prepossession by sensual lust, mental fetter of sensual lust, mental flood of sensuality, mental bond of sensuality, mental hindrance of sensual desire indeterminate? That should not be said. Etc. sensual lust, prepossession by sensual lust, etc. the mental hindrance of sensual desire unwholesome? Yes. Is the underlying tendency to sensual lust unwholesome? That should not be said. Etc.

Is the underlying tendency to aversion indeterminate? Yes. Are aversion, prepossession by aversion, mental fetter of aversion indeterminate? That should not be said. Etc. aversion, prepossession by aversion, mental fetter of aversion unwholesome? Yes. Is the underlying tendency to aversion unwholesome? That should not be said. Etc.

Is the underlying tendency to conceit indeterminate? Yes. Are conceit, prepossession by conceit, mental fetter of conceit indeterminate? That should not be said, etc. conceit, prepossession by conceit, mental fetter of conceit unwholesome? Yes. Is the underlying tendency to conceit unwholesome? That should not be said, etc.

Is the underlying tendency to wrong view indeterminate? Yes. Are wrong view, the mental flood of wrong view, the mental bond of wrong view, prepossession by wrong view, the mental fetter of wrong view indeterminate? That should not be said. Etc. Are wrong view, the mental flood of wrong view, the mental bond of wrong view, prepossession by wrong view, the mental fetter of wrong view unwholesome? Yes. Is the underlying tendency to wrong view unwholesome? That should not be said. Etc.

Is the underlying tendency to sceptical doubt indeterminate? Yes. Are sceptical doubt, prepossession by sceptical doubt, the mental fetter of sceptical doubt, the mental hindrance of sceptical doubt indeterminate? That should not be said. Etc. Are sceptical doubt, prepossession by sceptical doubt, the mental fetter of sceptical doubt, the mental hindrance of sceptical doubt unwholesome? Yes. Is the underlying tendency to sceptical doubt unwholesome? That should not be said. Etc.

Is the underlying tendency to lust for existence indeterminate? Yes. Are lust for existence, prepossession by lust for existence, the mental fetter of lust for existence indeterminate? That should not be said. Etc. Are lust for existence, prepossession by lust for existence, the mental fetter of lust for existence unwholesome? Yes. Is the underlying tendency to lust for existence unwholesome? That should not be said. Etc.

Is the underlying tendency to ignorance indeterminate? Yes. Are ignorance, the mental flood of ignorance, the mental bond of ignorance, prepossession by ignorance,

the mental fetter of ignorance, the mental hindrance of ignorance indeterminate? That should not be said. Etc. Are ignorance, the mental flood of ignorance, the mental bond of ignorance, prepossession by ignorance, the mental fetter of ignorance, the mental hindrance of ignorance unwholesome? Yes. Is the underlying tendency to ignorance unwholesome? That should not be said. Etc.

606. Should it not be said - "underlying tendencies are indeterminate"? Yes. When wholesome and indeterminate consciousness is occurring for a worldling, should it be said "with underlying tendencies"? Yes. Do wholesome and unwholesome mental states come into presence? That should not be said. Etc. If so, underlying tendencies are indeterminate. When wholesome and indeterminate consciousness is occurring for a worldling, should it be said "with lust"? Yes. Do wholesome and unwholesome mental states come into presence? That should not be said. Etc. If so, lust is indeterminate.

607. Are underlying tendencies without roots? Yes. Matter, Nibbāna, the eye sense base, etc. the touch sense base? That should not be said. Etc.

Is the underlying tendency to sensual lust without roots? Yes. Are sensual lust, prepossession by sensual lust, the mental fetter of sensual lust, the mental hindrance of sensual desire without roots? That should not be said. Etc. sensual lust, prepossession by sensual lust, etc. the mental hindrance of sensual desire is with root? Yes. Is the underlying tendency to sensual lust with root? That should not be said. Etc. the underlying tendency to aversion, etc. the underlying tendency to conceit... the underlying tendency to wrong view... the underlying tendency to sceptical doubt... the underlying tendency to lust for existence... Is the underlying tendency to ignorance without roots? Yes. Are ignorance, the mental flood of ignorance, the mental bond of ignorance, prepossession by ignorance, the mental fetter of ignorance, the mental hindrance of ignorance without roots? That should not be said, etc. ignorance, mental flood of ignorance, etc. the mental hindrance of ignorance is with root? Yes. Is the underlying tendency to ignorance with root? That should not be said. Etc.

608. Should it not be said - "underlying tendencies are without roots"? Yes. When wholesome and indeterminate consciousness is occurring for a worldling, should it be said "with underlying tendencies"? Yes. Are underlying tendencies with root by that root? That should not be said. Etc. If so, underlying tendencies are without roots. When wholesome and indeterminate consciousness is occurring for a worldling, should it be said "with lust"? Yes. Is lust with root by that root? That should not be said. Etc. If so, lust is without roots.

609. Underlying tendencies are dissociated from consciousness? Yes. Matter, Nibbāna, the eye sense base, etc. the touch sense base? That should not be said. Etc.

The underlying tendency to sensual lust is dissociated from consciousness? Yes. Sensual lust, prepossession by sensual lust, mental fetter of sensual lust, mental flood of sensuality, mental bond of sensuality, mental hindrance of sensual desire are dissociated from consciousness? That should not be said. Etc. sensual lust, prepossession by sensual lust, etc. mental hindrance of sensual desire is associated with consciousness? Yes. The underlying tendency to sensual lust is associated with consciousness? That should not be said. Etc.

610. The underlying tendency to sensual lust is dissociated from consciousness? Yes. Included in which aggregate? Included in the aggregate of mental activities. Is the aggregate of mental activities dissociated from consciousness? That should not be said. Is the aggregate of mental activities dissociated from consciousness? Yes. Are the aggregate of feeling and the aggregate of perception dissociated from consciousness? That should not be said. Etc.

Is the underlying tendency to sensual lust included in the aggregate of mental activities dissociated from consciousness? Yes. Is sensual lust included in the aggregate of mental activities dissociated from consciousness? That should not be said. Etc. Is sensual lust included in the aggregate of mental activities associated with consciousness? Yes. Is the underlying tendency to sensual lust included in the aggregate of mental activities associated with consciousness? That should not be said. Etc.

Is the underlying tendency to sensual lust included in the aggregate of mental activities dissociated from consciousness, and sensual lust included in the aggregate of mental activities associated with consciousness? Yes. Is the aggregate of mental activities in part associated with consciousness and in part dissociated from consciousness? That should not be said. Etc.

Is the aggregate of mental activities in part associated with consciousness and in part dissociated from consciousness? Yes. Are the aggregate of feeling and the aggregate of perception in part associated with consciousness and in part dissociated from consciousness? That should not be said. Etc.

611. Are the underlying tendency to aversion, the underlying tendency to conceit, the underlying tendency to wrong view, the underlying tendency to sceptical doubt, the underlying tendency to lust for existence, the underlying tendency to ignorance dissociated from consciousness? Yes. Are ignorance, mental flood of ignorance, mental bond of ignorance, mental hindrance of ignorance dissociated from consciousness? That should not be said. Etc. Are ignorance, mental flood of ignorance, mental bond of ignorance, mental hindrance of ignorance associated with consciousness? Yes. Is the underlying tendency to ignorance associated with consciousness? That should not be said. Etc.

612. Is the underlying tendency to ignorance dissociated from consciousness? Yes. Included in which aggregate? Included in the aggregate of mental activities. Is the aggregate of mental activities dissociated from consciousness? That should not be said. Is the aggregate of mental activities dissociated from consciousness? Yes. Are the aggregate of feeling and the aggregate of perception dissociated from consciousness? That should not be said. Etc.

Is the underlying tendency to ignorance included in the aggregate of mental activities dissociated from consciousness? Yes. Is ignorance included in the aggregate of mental activities dissociated from consciousness? That should not be said. Etc. Is ignorance included in the aggregate of mental activities associated with consciousness? Yes. Is the underlying tendency to ignorance included in the aggregate of mental activities associated with consciousness? That should not be said. Etc.

Is the underlying tendency to ignorance included in the aggregate of mental activities dissociated from consciousness, and ignorance included in the aggregate of mental activities associated with consciousness? Yes. Is the aggregate of mental activities in part associated with consciousness and in part dissociated from consciousness? That should not be said.

Is the aggregate of mental activities in part associated with consciousness and in part dissociated from consciousness? Yes. Are the aggregate of feeling and the aggregate of perception in part associated with consciousness and in part dissociated from consciousness? That should not be said. Etc.

613. Should it not be said - "underlying tendencies are dissociated from consciousness"? Yes. When wholesome and indeterminate consciousness is occurring for a worldling, should it be said "with underlying tendencies"? Yes. Are underlying tendencies associated with that consciousness? That should not be said. If so, underlying tendencies are dissociated from consciousness. When wholesome and indeterminate consciousness is occurring for a worldling, should it be said "with lust"? Yes. Is lust associated with that consciousness? That should not be said. If so, lust is dissociated from consciousness.

The discussion on the three underlying tendencies is also finished.

11. The Eleventh Chapter

(109) 4. Treatise on Knowledge

614. When not knowing has disappeared and consciousness dissociated from knowledge is occurring, should it not be said - "one with knowledge"? Yes. When lust has disappeared, should it not be said - "without lust"? That should not be said. Etc. When not knowing has disappeared and consciousness dissociated from knowledge is occurring, should it not be said - "one with knowledge"? Yes. When hate has disappeared... When delusion has disappeared... When mental defilement has disappeared, should it not be said - "free from defilement"? That should not be said. Etc.

When lust has disappeared, should it be said - "without lust"? Yes. When not knowing has disappeared and consciousness dissociated from knowledge is occurring, should it be said - "one with knowledge"? That should not be said. Etc. When hate has disappeared... When delusion has disappeared... When mental defilement has disappeared, should it be said - "free from defilement"? Yes. When not knowing has disappeared and consciousness dissociated from knowledge is occurring, should it be said - "one with knowledge"? That should not be said. Etc.

615. When not knowing has disappeared and consciousness dissociated from knowledge is occurring, should it be said - "one with knowledge"? Yes. Is one with knowledge by past knowledge, one with knowledge by ceased, disappeared, tranquillised knowledge? That should not be said. Etc.

The treatise on knowledge is concluded.

11. The Eleventh Chapter

(110) 5. The Discussion on Knowledge Being Dissociated from Consciousness

616. Is knowledge dissociated from consciousness? Yes. Matter, Nibbāna, the eye sense base, etc. the touch sense base? That should not be said. Etc. Is knowledge dissociated from consciousness? Yes. Are wisdom, the wisdom faculty, the power of wisdom, right view, the enlightenment factor of investigation of phenomena dissociated from consciousness? That should not be said. Etc. Are wisdom, the wisdom faculty, the power of wisdom, right view, the enlightenment factor of investigation of phenomena associated with consciousness? Yes. Is knowledge associated with consciousness? That should not be said. Etc.

Is knowledge dissociated from consciousness? Yes. Included in which aggregate? Included in the aggregate of mental activities. Is the aggregate of mental activities dissociated from consciousness? That should not be said. Etc. Is the aggregate of mental activities dissociated from consciousness? Yes. Are the aggregate of feeling and the aggregate of perception dissociated from consciousness? That should not be said. Etc. Is knowledge included in the aggregate of mental activities dissociated from consciousness? Yes. Is wisdom included in the aggregate of mental activities dissociated from consciousness? That should not be said. Etc. Is wisdom included in the aggregate of mental activities associated with consciousness? Yes. Is knowledge included in the aggregate of mental activities associated with consciousness? That should not be said. Etc. Is knowledge included in the aggregate of mental activities dissociated from consciousness, and wisdom included in the aggregate of mental activities associated with consciousness? Yes. Is the aggregate of mental activities in part associated with consciousness and in part dissociated from consciousness? That should not be said. Etc. Is the aggregate of mental activities in part associated with consciousness and in part dissociated from consciousness? Yes. Are the aggregate of feeling and the aggregate of perception in part associated with consciousness and in part dissociated from consciousness? That should not be said. Etc.

617. Should it not be said - "Knowledge is dissociated from consciousness"? Yes. Should it be said that a Worthy One possessing eye-consciousness is one with knowledge? Yes. Is knowledge associated with that consciousness? That should not be said. If so, knowledge is dissociated from consciousness.

Should it be said that a Worthy One possessing eye-consciousness is wise? Yes. Is wisdom associated with that consciousness? That should not be said. If so, wisdom is dissociated from consciousness.

The discussion on knowledge being dissociated from consciousness is finished.

11. The Eleventh Chapter

(111) 6. The Discussion on "This is Suffering"

618. Does knowledge "This is suffering" proceed for one speaking the words "This is suffering"? Yes. Does knowledge "This is the origin of suffering" proceed for one speaking the words "This is the origin of suffering"? That should not be said. Etc. Does knowledge "This is suffering" proceed for one speaking the words "This is suffering"? Yes. Does knowledge "This is the cessation of suffering" proceed for one speaking the words "This is the cessation of suffering"? That should not be said. Etc.

Does knowledge "This is suffering" proceed for one speaking the words "This is suffering"? Yes. Does knowledge "This is the path" proceed for one speaking the words "This is the path"? That should not be said. Etc.

For one speaking the words "This is the origin", does knowledge "This is the origin" not proceed? Yes. For one speaking the words "This is suffering", does knowledge "This is suffering" not proceed? That should not be said. Etc. "This is cessation"... For one speaking the words "This is the path", does knowledge "This is the path" not proceed? Yes. For one speaking the words "This is suffering", does knowledge "This is suffering" not proceed? That should not be said. Etc.

619. Does knowledge "This is suffering" proceed for one speaking the words "This is suffering"? Yes. Does knowledge "Materiality is impermanent" proceed for one speaking the words "Materiality is impermanent"? That should not be said. Etc. Does knowledge "This is suffering" proceed for one speaking the words "This is suffering"? Yes. Feeling... perception... activities... Does knowledge "Consciousness is impermanent" proceed for one speaking the words "Consciousness is impermanent"? That should not be said. Etc.

Does knowledge "This is suffering" proceed for one speaking the words "This is suffering"? Yes. Does knowledge "Materiality is non-self" proceed for one speaking the words "Materiality is non-self"? That should not be said. Etc. Does knowledge "This is suffering" proceed for one speaking the words "This is suffering"? Yes. Feeling... perception... activities... Does knowledge "Consciousness is non-self" proceed for one speaking the words "Consciousness is non-self"? That should not be said. Etc.

For one speaking the words "Materiality is impermanent", does knowledge "Materiality is impermanent" not proceed? Yes. For one speaking the words "This is suffering", does knowledge "This is suffering" not proceed? That should not be said. Etc. feeling... perception... activities... For one speaking the words "Consciousness is impermanent", does knowledge "Consciousness is impermanent" not proceed? Yes. For one speaking the words "This is suffering", does knowledge "This is suffering" not proceed? That should not be said. Etc.

For one speaking the words "Materiality is non-self", does knowledge "Materiality is non-self" not proceed? Yes. For one speaking the words "This is suffering", does knowledge "This is suffering" not proceed? That should not be said, etc. feeling... perception... activities... For one speaking the words "Consciousness is non-self", does knowledge "Consciousness is non-self" not proceed? Yes. For one speaking the words "This is suffering", does knowledge "suffering" not proceed? That should not be said. Etc.

620. Does knowledge "This is suffering" proceed for one speaking the words "This is suffering"? Yes. Does knowledge proceed as "i" and "da" and "du" and "kha"? That should not be said. Etc.

The discussion on "this is suffering" is finished.

11. The Eleventh Chapter

(112) 7. The Discussion on the Power of Supernormal Power

621. Would one endowed with supernormal power remain for a cosmic cycle? Yes. Is that life span produced by supernormal power, is that destination produced by supernormal power, is that acquisition of individual existence produced by supernormal power? That should not be said. Etc.

Would one endowed with supernormal power remain for a cosmic cycle? Yes. Would one remain for a past cosmic cycle, would one remain for a future cosmic cycle? That should not be said. Etc. Would one endowed with supernormal power remain for a cosmic cycle? Yes. Would one remain for two cosmic cycles, would one remain for three cosmic cycles, would one remain for four cosmic cycles? That should not be said. Etc. Would one endowed with supernormal power remain for a cosmic cycle? Yes. Would one remain when there is life, when there is a remainder of life, would one remain when there is no life, when there is no remainder of life? One would remain when there is life, when there is a remainder of life. If one would remain when there is life, when there is a remainder of life, then indeed sir, it should not be said - "One endowed with supernormal power would remain for a cosmic cycle." Would one remain when there is no life, when there is no remainder of life, would one who is dead remain, would one who is deceased remain? That should not be said. Etc.

622. Would one endowed with supernormal power remain for a cosmic cycle? Yes. Is it possible to sustain by supernormal power that arisen contact should not cease? That should not be said. Etc. arisen feeling... etc. arisen perception... etc. arisen volition... etc. arisen consciousness... arisen faith... arisen energy... arisen mindfulness... arisen concentration... etc. Is it possible to sustain by supernormal power that arisen wisdom should not cease? That should not be said. Etc.

Would one endowed with supernormal power remain for a cosmic cycle? Yes. Is it possible to sustain by supernormal power that matter should be permanent? That should not be said. Etc. feeling... etc. perception... activities... Is it possible to sustain by supernormal power that consciousness should be permanent? That should not be said. Etc.

Would one endowed with supernormal power remain for a cosmic cycle? Yes. Is it possible to sustain by supernormal power that beings subject to birth should not be born? That should not be said. Etc. that beings subject to ageing should not age... etc. that beings subject to disease should not become diseased... etc. Is it possible to sustain by supernormal power that beings subject to death should not die? That should not be said. Etc.

623. Should it not be said - "One endowed with supernormal power would remain for a cosmic cycle"? Yes. Was it not said by the Blessed One - "For anyone, Ānanda, who has developed, cultivated, mastered, made a basis of, practised, accumulated, and thoroughly undertaken the four bases for spiritual power, if he wishes, he could remain for a cosmic cycle or the remainder of a cosmic cycle"! Is there such a discourse? Yes. If so, one endowed with supernormal power would remain for a cosmic cycle.

624. Would one endowed with supernormal power remain for a cosmic cycle? Yes. Was it not said by the Blessed One - "Monks, for four things there is no surety - whether ascetic or brahmin or god or Māra or Brahmā or anyone in the world! Which four? What is subject to ageing - 'may it not age' - there is no surety for this - whether

ascetic or brahmin or god or Māra or Brahmā or anyone in the world. What is subject to disease - 'may it not fall ill' - etc. What is subject to death - 'may it not die' - etc. Whatever evil actions were done in the past, which are subject to defilement, leading to rebirth, giving trouble, with painful results, leading to future birth, ageing, and death - 'may the result of those not arise' - there is no surety for this - whether ascetic or brahmin or god or Māra or Brahmā or anyone in the world. Monks, for these four things there is no surety - whether ascetic or brahmin or god or Māra or Brahmā or anyone in the world." Is there such a discourse? Yes. If so, it should not be said - "One endowed with supernormal power would remain for a cosmic cycle."

The discussion on the power of supernormal power is finished.

11. The Eleventh Chapter

(113) 8. The Discussion on Concentration

625. Is continuity of consciousness concentration? Yes. Is past continuity of consciousness concentration? That should not be said, etc. Is continuity of consciousness concentration? Yes. Is future continuity of consciousness concentration? That should not be said. Etc. Is continuity of consciousness concentration? Yes. Is not the past ceased and the future unborn? Yes. If the past is ceased and the future is unborn, then indeed sir, it should not be said - "Continuity of consciousness is concentration."

626. Is concentration lasting one mind-moment? Yes. Is one possessing eye-consciousness attained? That should not be said. Etc. One possessing ear-consciousness... etc. One possessing nose-consciousness... One possessing tongue-consciousness... One possessing body-consciousness... etc. One possessing unwholesome consciousness... etc. One possessing consciousness accompanied by lust... etc. One possessing consciousness accompanied by hate... etc. One possessing consciousness accompanied by delusion... etc. Is one possessing consciousness accompanied by moral fearlessness attained? That should not be said. Etc.

Is continuity of consciousness concentration? Yes. Is continuity of unwholesome consciousness concentration? That should not be said. Etc. Accompanied by lust... etc. Accompanied by hate... etc. Accompanied by delusion... etc. Is continuity of consciousness accompanied by moral fearlessness concentration? That should not be said. Etc.

Should it not be said - "Continuity of consciousness is concentration"? Yes. Was it not said by the Blessed One - "I, friends Jains, am able, without moving my body, without uttering speech, to dwell experiencing exclusively happiness for seven nights and days"! Is there such a discourse? Yes. If so, continuity of consciousness is concentration.

The discussion on concentration is finished.

11. The Eleventh Chapter

(114) 9. The Discussion on the Principle of the Causal Relationship of Phenomena

627. Is the principle of the causal relationship of phenomena predetermined? Yes. Is the stability of that predetermined? That should not be said. Etc. Is the stability of that predetermined? Yes. For that very one there is no ending of suffering, there is no cutting off of the round of existence, there is no final nibbāna without clinging? That should not be said. Etc.

Is the stability of matter predetermined? Yes. Is the stability of that predetermined? That should not be said. Etc. Is the stability of that predetermined? Yes. For that very one there is no ending of suffering, there is no cutting off of the round of existence, there is no final nibbāna without clinging? That should not be said. Etc.

The stability of feeling... etc. The stability of perception... etc. The stability of activities... etc. Is the stability of consciousness predetermined? Yes. Is the stability of that predetermined? That should not be said. Etc. Is the stability of that predetermined? Yes. For that very one there is no ending of suffering, there is no cutting off of the round of existence, there is no final nibbāna without clinging? That should not be said. Etc.

The discussion on the principle of the causal relationship of phenomena is finished.

11. The Eleventh Chapter

(115) 10. The Discussion on Impermanence

628. Is impermanence predetermined? Yes. Is impermanence predetermined by that impermanence? That should not be said. Etc. Is impermanence predetermined by that impermanence? Yes. For that very one there is no ending of suffering, there is no cutting off of the round of existence, there is no final nibbāna without clinging? That should not be said.

Is ageing predetermined? Yes. Is ageing predetermined by that ageing? That should not be said. Etc. Is ageing predetermined by that ageing? Yes. For that very one there is no ending of suffering, there is no cutting off of the round of existence, there is no final nibbāna without clinging? That should not be said. Etc.

Is death predetermined? Yes. Is death of that death predetermined? That should not be said. Is death of that death predetermined? Yes. For that very one there is no ending of suffering, there is no cutting off of the round of existence, there is no final nibbāna without clinging? That should not be said. Etc.

629. Is matter predetermined, is there impermanence of matter? Yes. Is impermanence predetermined, is there impermanence of impermanence? That should not be said. Etc. Is matter predetermined, is there ageing of matter? Yes. Is ageing predetermined, is there ageing of ageing? That should not be said. Etc.

Is matter predetermined, is there breaking up of matter, is there disappearance? Yes. Is death predetermined, is there breaking up of death, is there disappearance? That should not be said. Etc.

Feeling etc. perception... activities... etc. Is consciousness predetermined, is there impermanence of consciousness? Yes. Is impermanence predetermined, is there impermanence of impermanence? That should not be said, etc. Is consciousness

predetermined, is there ageing of consciousness? Yes. Is ageing predetermined, is there ageing of ageing? That should not be said. Etc.

Is consciousness predetermined, is there breaking up of consciousness, is there disappearance? Yes. Is death predetermined, is there breaking up of death, is there disappearance? That should not be said. Etc.

The discussion on impermanence is finished.

The Eleventh Chapter.

Its summary:

Underlying tendencies are indeterminate, without roots, dissociated from consciousness, one with knowledge when not knowing has disappeared, knowledge is dissociated from consciousness, where knowledge proceeds regarding sound, one endowed with supernormal power would remain for a cosmic cycle, continuity of consciousness is concentration, principle of the causal relationship of phenomena, impermanence.



ABOUT THIS TRANSLATION

This translation is part of the PaliVerse Project - the first complete, unified English translation of the Pāli Canon together with its Commentaries (Aṭṭhakathā) and Subcommentaries (Tīkā), accompanied by interactive study tools. PaliVerse is an ongoing project. The AI translations are continuously improved through experts' revisions and feedback. This text is the latest translation as of the date of download.

PDF Creation Date: 16 August 2026

HOW TO CITE

*PaliVerse (2026). The Triple Canon. 3. The Canon of Higher Teaching. 05. Points of Controversy. 11. Eleventh Chapter
Retrieved from paliverse.org*

© 2026 The PaliVerse Project · All Rights Reserved
Centre for Study and Practice of Theravāda Buddhism
Civic Non-Profit Company registered in Greece
This PDF may be freely distributed for study and practice.

PaliVerse.org